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#### Abstract

Reaction of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ with a bulky monosubstituted phosphorus(III) halide $\mathrm{RPCl}_{2}$ where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Mes}\left(2,4,6-\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2},-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2}-4-\mathrm{Me}$, or $-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ yields products in which the phosphorus center behaves as either a diphosphene or a bridging phosphinidene group. The type of product depends on the substituent used. The synthesis of two precursor ligands, $2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}$ and $4-\mathrm{Me}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}$, and eight iron carbonyl complexes involving various diphosphene and phosphinidene ligand are described and discussed in the context of the corresponding chromium carbonyl complexes. The complexes are $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}-t r a n s-(\mathrm{PMes})_{2}\right](1),\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PMes}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{THF}(2)$, $[\{\mathrm{Fe}-$ $\left.\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{PMes})\right\}_{n}\right](3),\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](4),\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}-\operatorname{trans}-\left\{\mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right](5),\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}-\operatorname{trans}-\left\{\mathrm{PN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]$ (6), $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{POC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2}-4-\mathrm{Me}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ (7), and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left\{\left(\mathrm{POC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]\right.\right.$ (8). X-ray data at 130 K are as follows. 1: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, a=7.980$ (2) $\AA, b=10.882$ (3) $\AA, c=17.125$ (4) $\AA, \alpha=78.49$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \beta=86.14$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, $\gamma=82.74(2)^{\circ}$, triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}, Z=2, R=0.040$ for 4431 unique observed data. 2: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}, a$ $=8.358(3) \AA, b=15.712(8) \AA, c=25.184$ (9) $\AA$, orthorhombic, space group $C 2 c m, Z=4, R=0.046$ for 1169 unique observed data. The structures of $5 \mathbf{- 8}$ have been briefly described in preliminary communications. The structures of both 7 and 8 are the only two of that type so far described. Complex 5 was the first example of a transition-metal diphosphene complex having an unsupported PP double bond. Compounds 1 and 6 are further examples. A major difference between the reactions of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Na}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ with $\mathrm{RPCl}_{2}$ compounds is the generally cleaner nature of the products obtained for $\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$. A significant feature of the reactions involving iron is the isolation of clusters involving $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bonds with less bulky substituents. With chromium complexes, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ rather than $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ bonds are obtained when the smaller substituents are used. Details of the syntheses and spectroscopic characterization of the precursors $2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}$ and $4-\mathrm{Me}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}$ are also given.


Interest in multiple bonding between heavier main-group elements has greatly intensified over the past five years. ${ }^{2}$ The major emphasis has involved the kinetic stabilization of species which have unsupported double bonds such as $\mathrm{RP}=\mathrm{PR}^{2}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{SiR}_{2}{ }^{2,3}$ and the study of their spectroscopic and chemical properties. In the case of the main group V elements the discovery of $2,4,6-t$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{PC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ by Yoshifuji ${ }^{4}$ and co-workers has heralded an extensive array of publications by many research groups which have detailed the interesting physical and chemical characteristics of the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}$ moiety and its heavier congeners.
Work on such compounds in this laboratory stemmed from attempts to synthesize transition-metal complexes having a terminal metal phosphinidene ligand, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{PX}$. The existence of this interesting moiety, which has not yet been isolated in a stable form under ambient conditions, has been demonstrated by Mathey in trapping experiments. ${ }^{5}$ In addition, a very large number of publications beginning in $1975^{6,7}$ had focussed on compounds of the type $\left(\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathrm{M}\right)_{2} \mathrm{EX}$ and $\left.\left[\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathrm{MEXEXML}_{n}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{ML}_{n}\right)\right](\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{P}$, As, Sb , or Bi ) and related species which contained planar bridging

[^0]Scheme I. Summary of the Products Derived from the Reaction of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ with Various Monosubstituted Phosphorus(III) Halides

phosphinidene ligands (also $\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}$, or Bi analogues) and (E-E) multiple bonds bridged by organometallic fragments. ${ }^{8}$ We reasoned that if the substituent on phosphorus were sufficiently large terminal rather than bridging phosphinidene complexes would be isolated. Accordingly, beginning in early 1982, a series of reactions between $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}{ }^{9-12}$ or $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}{ }^{10,11}$ and

[^1]$\mathrm{RPCl}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=$ large alkyl, aryl, amide, or aryloxide group) were investigated (see Scheme I). In no case was the expected terminal phosphinidene product isolated. Instead, hitherto unreported complexes which, in many cases, possessed unsupported (E-E) double bonds were obtained. The predominance of these compounds, exemplified by the facile synthesis and characterization ${ }^{9}$ of the new organometallic diphosphene complex trans$\left[(\mathrm{OC})_{4} \mathrm{Fe}\left\{\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHP}=\mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ (5), is due in large measure (electronic factors also play a role) to the large size of the organic phosphorus substituent. A further example involving the trans $-\mathrm{PhP}=\mathrm{PPh}$ ligand bonded to two $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{s}$, fragments was published shortly thereafter. ${ }^{13}$ The P-P bond length in 5 was essentially the same as those found ${ }^{4}$ in the Yoshifuji compound (2.034 (2) $\AA$ ) and the dialkyldiphosphene trans- $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CP}=$ $\mathrm{PC}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(2.014\right.$ (6) $\AA$ ) of Cowley, ${ }^{14}$ showing that this parameter underwent little change upon coordination of the tran-sition-metal fragment through the phosphorus lone pair. The complex is also notable because of its air, moisture, and thermal stability. The appearance of P-P double-bonded compounds as the major fraction is in contrast to the products isolated when less bulky starting materials such as $\mathrm{MePCl} l_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ itself are used. However, with heavier main group V congeners, even large groups such as $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ have so far proved unsuccessful in preventing the formation of bridging species as in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left\{\eta^{2}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHSbSbCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left\{\mu-\mathrm{SbCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}-\right.$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$. ${ }^{15}$

In this paper we describe in fuller detail the products obtained from the reaction between $\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{RPCl}_{2}$ as shown in Scheme I. Some details of these reactions have been given in four ${ }^{-12}$ preliminary reports. The results described in this paper are complimentary to, but significantly different from, those arising from the corresponding reaction between $\mathrm{RPCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ which will be described in a separate publication. ${ }^{16}$

## Experimental Section

General Procedures. All experiments were performed by using either modified Schlenk techniques under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or a vacuum atmosphere HE43-2 drybox under Argon. Solvents were freshly distilled under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$ alloy-benzophenone-ketyl and degassed twice immediately before use.
Physical Measurements. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ on a Nicolet NT-200 spectrometer operating at 81 MHz . All ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectra were referenced to external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and recorded with $2-\mathrm{W}$ proton decoupling unless otherwise noted. IR spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 180 or on IBM IR/32 or IR/98 instruments. All products gave satisfactory C and H analysis.

Reagents. The reagents $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \cdot{ }^{3} / 2$ dioxane (Alfa), $2,4,6-t$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (Aldrich), and $4-\mathrm{Me}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (Aldrich) were all used as received. The compounds $\mathrm{MesPCl}_{2},{ }^{17} \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}_{2},{ }^{18}$ $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{CHPCl}_{2}{ }^{19}\right.$ and $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{NPCl}_{2}{ }^{19}\right.$ were prepared according to literature methods.

2,4,6-t-Ru $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}$. 2,4,6-t- $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OLi}$ was prepared from $2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ and $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ as reported earlier. ${ }^{20}$ The $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(30$
(11) Flynn, K. M.; Hope, H.; Murray, B. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7750.
(12) Flynn, K. M.; Bartlett, R. A.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. Organometallics 1986, 5, 813.
(13) Borm, J.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 977.
(14) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Newman, G. H.; Pakulski, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5820. Cowley, A. L.; Kilduff, J. E.; Norman, N. C.; Atwood, J. L.; Pakulski, M.; Hunter, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105 , 4845.
(15) Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Bricker, D. L.; Russell, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8211 .
(16) Bartlett, R. A.; Dias, H. V. R.; Flynn, K. M.; Hope, H.; Murray, B.; Olmstead. M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc., preceding paper in this issue.
(17) The reported synthesis for $\mathrm{Mes} \mathrm{PCl}_{2}$ by: Oshikawa, T.; Yamashita, M. Chem. Ind. (London) 1955, 126 was used with slight modifications. MesLi was prepared from $n$ - BuLi and MesBr in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexane. After overnight stirring the solid MesLi was filtered off and suspended in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $15 \%$ excess $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ was added at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was worked up as described to obtain $70 \%$ yield of $\mathrm{Mes}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}_{2}$.
(18) Seyferth, D.; Freyer, W. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 262604.
(19) Gynane, M. J. S.: Hudson, A.; Lappert, M. F.: Power, P. P.: Goldwhite, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 2428.
(20) Cetinkaya, B.; Gumrukcu, I.; Lappert, M. F.; Atwood, J. L.; Shakir, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2086.
mmol) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to an $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100$ mL ) solution of $2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OLi}(25 \mathrm{mmol})$. This was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred overnight. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted with $n$-hexane, filtered, and cooled at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. White crystals were isolated from the hexane solution and recrystallized from $n$-hexane: yield $90 \%$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 202.7$; $\mathrm{mp} 68-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-Me-2,6-t- $\mathrm{Bu}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}$. This was prepared from $4-\mathrm{Me}-2,6-t$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OLi}$ and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ in a procedure similar to that described above: yield $90 \% ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \delta 202$; mp $44-46{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Preparation of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}\right.$-trans $\left.-\{\mathrm{PMes}\}_{2}\right]$ (1), $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mu_{3^{-}}\right.\right.$ PMes $\left.)_{2}\right] \cdot$ THF (2), and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathbf{P M e s})\right\}_{n}\right]$ (3). $\mathrm{MesPCl}_{2}(0.442 \mathrm{~g}, 2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4^{3} / 2}$ dioxane ( $0.629 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the products were separated by column chromatography (silica gel $/ n$-hexane) and crystallized in $n$-hexane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain $\left[\right.$ trans- $\left.\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{PMes})\right\}_{2}\right](1)$ (dark red crystals; $35 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{mp} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 335.5$; IR (Nujol) 1973, 1992, 2048, $2075 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), [ $\mathrm{Fe}_{3}$ (CO) $\left.)_{9}\left(\mu_{3} \text {-PMes }\right)_{2}\right] \cdot$ THF (2) (red crystals; $10 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{mp}>255^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 306.1$; IR (Nujol) 1951, 1984, 2004, 2026. 2038. $2068 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). and yellow crystals of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{PMes})\right\}_{n}\right]$ (3) $\left(15 \%\right.$, yield; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta$ 48; IR (Nujol) 1965, 1975, 1994, 2020, $2059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).
$\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (4). $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}_{2}(1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \cdot \frac{3}{2}$ dioxane ( 3.29 $\mathrm{g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 100 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred overnight (at room temperature), and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The red-colored product was separated by column chromatography (silica gel $/ n$-hexane) The crystals were not suitable for X-ray diffraction: $35 \%$ yield; ${ }^{31}$ P NMR $\delta 331.4$; IR (Nujol) 1945, 1982, 2014, 2035, $2049 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}\right.$-trans $\left.-\left\{\mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]$ (5). Solid addition of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{4^{.}}{ }^{3} / 2$ dioxane $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a solution of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{CHPCl}_{2}(1.0\right.$ $\mathrm{g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in a red solution. Stirring was continued for 12 h at room temperature, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted into $n$ hexane ( 20 mL ), filtered, and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dark red brown crystals of 5 were obtained: $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ yield; mp $145-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 384.6$; IR (Nujol) 1962, 1988, 2013, $2053 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}\right.$-trans $\left.-\left\{\mathbf{P N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right](6)$. Solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}{ }^{3} /{ }_{2}$ dioxane ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a THF ( 100 mL ) solution of $\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NPCl}_{2}(0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature and stirred overnight. After the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the crude product was extracted into $n$-hexane ( 20 mL ), filtered, and cooled to -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain orange red crystals of 6 in $45 \%$ yield: mp $134-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 403.9$; IR (Nujol) 1957, 1966, 1982, $2046 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{POC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2}-4-\mathrm{Me}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ (7). Solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \cdot{ }^{3} /$ ${ }_{2}$ dioxane ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a THF ( 50 mL ) solution of $4-\mathrm{Me}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}(0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in $n$ hexane, filtered, and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Red brown crystals of 7 were obtained in $35 \%$ yield: $m p 191-193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 564$; IR (Nujol) 1967, 1994, 2014, 2028, 2041, $2052 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left\{\mu-\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{POC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}\right\}_{2}\right] \quad$ (8). 2,4,6-t$\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}(1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and solid $\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} 4^{3} / 2$ dioxane $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted into $n$-hexane, filtered, and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Orange-red crystals of 8 were obtained in $40 \%$ yield: mp $190-191^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 233.8$ (d), 193.4 (d), $J_{\mathrm{PP}}=532 \mathrm{~Hz}$; IR (Nujol) 1956, 1966, 1971, 1986, 1991, 2002, 2022, 2026, 2038, 2048, 2059, 2103 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

X-ray Crystallographic Studies. All X-ray data were collected with a Syntex $\mathrm{P} 2_{1}$ diffractometer equipped with a locally modified Syntex LT-1 device, Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, and a graphite monochromator. Calculations were carried out on a Data General Eclipse using SHELXTL, version 4, programs. Scattering factors and corrections for anomalous scattering were from ref 21. Absorption corrections were made by using the program XABS. ${ }^{22}$

Red parallelepiped crystals of 1 were obtained as described and coated with a hydrocarbon oil upon removal from the Schlenk tube to prevent reaction with the air. A suitable crystal was selected, attached to a glass fiber on a mounting pin, and placed in the low-temperature $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream. Triclinic lattice constants were determined by least-squares fit of 22

[^2]

Figure 1. Computer-generated thermal ellipsoid plot of 6 (thermal ellipsoids at $30 \%$ probability level). Important bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): $\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}=2.053(1) . \mathrm{FeP}=2.232(1), \mathrm{PN}=1.682(2), \mathrm{FeC}_{\mathrm{av}}$ $=1.794, \mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{av}}=1.146, \mathrm{Si}(1) \mathrm{N}=1.791$ (3), $\mathrm{Si}(2) \mathrm{N}=1.793$ (3), $\mathrm{SiC}_{\mathrm{av}}$ $=1.861, \mathrm{FePN}=120.9(1), \mathrm{NPP}^{\prime}=110.2(1), \mathrm{FePP}^{\prime}=128.7(1)$, $\operatorname{PNSi}(1)=118.7(2), \operatorname{PNSi}(2)=118.9(1), \operatorname{Si}(1) \mathrm{NSi}(2)=120.2(1)$. Angle between $\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ and FePN planes $=75^{\circ}$.
accurately centered reflections with $30^{\circ}<2 \theta<48^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by Patterson method in the space group $P \overline{1}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included by using a riding model with C-H of $0.96 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 L^{*}$ iso $(\mathrm{C})$, where $U^{*}$ iso is the equivalent isotropic thermal parameter.

Red crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ were obtained as described and handled in a similar manner as 1. The orthorhombic cell constants were determined by least-squares fit of 12 centered reflections with $25^{\circ}<2 \theta<40^{\circ}$. Intensity data was collected with C centering and the space group determined to be $C 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, a cba permutation of Ama2, No. 40. The structure was solved by a combination of Patterson and direct methods. The Fe atoms were refined anisotropically. A disordered lattice THF was modeled with two equally probable positions for one of the carbons. These are labeled $\mathrm{C}(17)$ and $\mathrm{C}(18)$, resulting in two possible THF molecules, $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{C}$ -$(16)-C\left(17^{\prime}\right)-C\left(18^{\prime}\right)-C\left(16^{\prime}\right)$ and $O(7)-C(16)-C(18)-C(17)-C\left(16^{\prime}\right)$. Hydrogens were added to all appropriate carbons with the exception of the disordered THF by the riding model described above. The handedness was shown to be correct by the program ABSCON. ${ }^{23}$ Further details of data collection and refinement for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are in Table I (supplementary material).

## Results and Discussion

Much of the work carried out in this laboratory on the interactions between carbonylmetelate salts and monosubstituted phosphorus(III) halides has focused on the readily available dianions $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]^{2-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]^{2-}$. The concentration upon mononuclear dianions arose from the original intention to avoid the occurrence of bridged or metal-metal bonded species. This approach, in combination with the use of bulky substitutents on phosphorus, has been for the most part successful in the case of both the iron and chromium compounds. The carbonylmetalate fragment functions as a reducing agent giving rise to metal complexes involving a combination of $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{n}$ and : PR moieties in various proportions. These may take the form of phosphinidene $\left[\left\{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{n}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{PR}\right]$, diphosphene $\left[\left\{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{n}\right\}_{x}(\mathrm{RP}=\mathrm{PR})_{y}\right]$, cyclopolyphosphine $\left[\left\{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{n}\right\}\right.$-cyclo-(PR) $\left.{ }_{y}\right]$, or cluster complexes. The metal carbonyl fragment serves in effect as a trapping agent for the : PR phosphinidene ligand. In some cases hydrogen may also be abstracted from the solvent to give phosphane complexes. ${ }^{16}$ The types of complex obtained are summarized in Scheme I.

The complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}\right.$-trans $\left.-\left\{\mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]$ (5) was the major product to be isolated from the $\mathrm{RPCl}_{2} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ reaction system, and some structural details have been reported. ${ }^{9}$ The compound was the first reported example of a transition-metal complex having an unsupported phosphorus-phosphorus double bond. This was quickly followed by the synthesis of the isoelectronic (and structurally very similar) amido-substituted com-
(23) Hope, H.; De La Camp, U. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1972. A28, 201.


Figure 2. Computer-generated thermal ellipsoid plot of 1 (thermal ellipsoids at $30 \%$ probability level). Important bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)=2.029(1), \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(1)=2.186(1), \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{Fe}(2)$ $=2.192$ (1), $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{C}(9)=1.821$ (3), $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{C}(18)=1.823$ (3), $\mathrm{FeC}_{\mathrm{av}}=$ $1.803, \mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{av}}=1.141, \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{C}(9)=121.7(1), \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)=132.9$ (1), $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)=105.4(1), \mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{C}(18)=122.7(1), \mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{P}-$ (2) $\mathrm{P}(1)=131.5(1), \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{P}(1)=105.4(1)$.
plex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}_{2}\right.$-trans $\left.-\left\{\mathrm{PN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right](6),{ }^{16}$ which is illustrated in Figure 1. These reactions are notable for the cleanness of the products. In each case the diphosphene is the only major species obtained when the reaction mixtures are examined by using chromatography (silica gel with hexane eluant) or ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. This result differs from the corresponding reaction of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ with $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{NPCl}_{2}\right.$ which gives moderate yields of three major products and that with $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHPCl}_{2}$ which gives two products. The salient features of the structures of both 5 and 6 are the central planar array of iron, phosphorus, and its substituent carbon or nitrogen atoms. The PP distances are 2.039 (1) $\AA$ for 5 and slightly longer 2.053 (1) $\AA$ for the nitrogen-substituted 6 . The longer distance in 6 is similar to the trend found in the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ system. Here, the range has been extended to include an aryloxide substituent which has an even longer PP bond than the amide. The PP bond lengths are fully consistent as P-P double bonding and are, in fact, very close to the values observed in free diphosphenes. For example, the free diphosphene $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NP}=$ $\mathrm{PN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ has been isolated by Niecke and co-workers ${ }^{24}$ and makes for an interesting comparison to 5 where it is coordinated to two $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ fragments. In the free ligand the PP distance is $2.034 \AA$ which is shorter than that seen in 6 ( 2.053 (1) $\AA$ ). The PN distance in the free ligand, $1.769 \AA$, is considerably longer than the corresponding distance, 1.682 (2) $\AA$, in complex 6 . This shortening is probably due to reduction in phosphorus-nitrogen lone-pair repulsion on coordination of the diphosphene to the metal. The ${ }^{31}$ P NMR peaks of 5 and 6 appear as singlets at 384.6 and 403.9 ppm downfield which are typical for bulky diphosphene complexes of transition metals. A further notable feature of 5 is its stability. A sample showed no significant change over a period of 3 years on storage in the air. In the context of product 6 the reaction between $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and the bulky a mino chlorophosphanes $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NPCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NR}_{2}=\mathrm{N}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)_{2}\right.$, or 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine) gives the interesting carbonyl bis(dialkylamino) phosphido complexes $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{COFe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]\right.$, but no diphosphene products were reported. ${ }^{25}$
The reaction between $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{MesPCl}_{2}$ provides a contrast to the clear-cut nature of the product in the case of 5 or 6. In this case three significant products are obtained on chromatographic separation. The first involves the trans-diphosphene complex 1 as dark red purple crystals in $35 \%$ yield. This has structural features (Figure 2) similar to 5 and 6 with a PP distance of 2.029 (1) $\AA$. The second product obtained is
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Figure 3. Computer-generated thermal ellipsoid plot of 2 (thermal ellipsoids at $40 \%$ probability for P and Fe , isotropic atoms given arbitrary fixed radius circles). Important bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1)=2.221$ (3), $\mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{P}(1)=2.240(3), \mathrm{Fe}(3) \mathrm{P}(1)=2.241$ (3), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(3)=2.721$ (2). $\mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{Fe}(3)=2.632$ (3), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Fe}(2)=3.566$ (3), $\mathrm{P} \ldots \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=2.653$ (3), $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{C}(7)=1.833(8), \mathrm{FeC}_{\mathrm{av}}=1.791, \mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{av}}=$ $1.142, \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(2)=106.2$ (1), $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1)^{\prime}=73.4$ (1), $\mathrm{P}(1)$ $\mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{P}(1)^{\prime}=72.6(1), \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(3) \mathrm{Fe}(2)=83.5(1), \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(3) \mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)=$ $72.6(1), \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(2)=128.2(3), \mathrm{Fe}(3) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{C}(7)=130.8$ (4). C . (7) $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(1)=123.6$ (4).
the cluster species $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PMes}\right)_{2}\right]$ (2) in a $10 \%$ yield. The compound (Figure 3) has the same nido framework geometry as other $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ systems ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{NR}$, AsR) $)^{26}$ The cluster may also be considered as a complex between the planar basal $\{\mathrm{Fe}$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PMes}\right\}_{2}$ fragment (isoelectronic to cyclobutadiene) and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ (see later discussion of 7). There is, however, no asymmetry in the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P}$ distances in the $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{P}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ moiety as seen in the case of 7. Also the average basal $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P}$ bond, ca. $2.23 \AA$, is, as expected, almost $0.1 \AA$ longer than the average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P}$ distance found in 7. The third fraction, compound 3, is an orange-yellow crystalline substance and has a ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR that consists of a singlet at +48 ppm and an elemental analysis corresponding to the formula $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PMes}\right\}_{n}\right]$. It is very likely the mesityl-substituted analogue of the tetrahedrane isolobe $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{P}-t-\mathrm{Bu})\right\}_{2}\right]$ of Vahrenkamp and co-workers. ${ }^{27}$ In the reaction between $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ only one isolable product is obtained although other ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances are seen in the crude reaction mixture. This has been assigned the same structure as 2 on the basis of IR, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, and analytical data. The smaller size of the $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}$ group relative to Mes may contribute to the lack of any nonaggregated products such as diphosphenes or phosphinidene complexes among the products.

The reaction between $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{ROPCl}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=$ $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ or $\left.-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2}-4-\mathrm{Me}\right)$ results in two major products depicted by 7 and 8 . When the $-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}$ substituent is used, complex 8 crystallizes first, but a complex like 7 is also detectable ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 564$ ) in solution. If the $-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-2,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2}-4$-Me group is used, complex 7 is crystallized first and a species similar to 8 remains in solution. Complexes of type 8 may be converted into complexes of type 7 by gently heating with the elimination of 2 equiv of CO in the process. However, this reaction is not reversible under ambient conditions.
The structure of complex 7 (Figure 4) features the interesting $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ core with unequal $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P}$ bond lengths 2.202 (1) and 2.112 (1) Â prompting an isolobal comparison with cyclobutadiene. Moreover, this complex should, in theory at least, be capable of behaving as a donor in much the same way. We have been unable to detect any donor property in 7 which is, of course, quite sterically hindered. However, the isolobal comparison remains valid as exemplified by the donor behavior of the $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PMes}\right\}_{2}$ in 2. Complex 2 is probably formed as a consequence of the reduced
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Figure 4. Computer-generated thermal ellipsoid plot of 7 reproduced from ref 12 (thermal ellipsoids at $30 \%$ probability level). Bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg) relevant to this discussion: $\mathrm{FeP}=2.202$ (1), $\mathrm{FeP}^{\prime}$ $=2.112$ (1), $\mathrm{FePFe}^{\prime}=113.5$ (1), $\mathrm{PFeP}^{\prime}=66.5(1), \mathrm{FePO}(4)=113.6$ (1).


Figure 5. Computer-generated thermal ellipsoid plot of 8 ( $40 \%$ probability level thermal ellipsoids, isotropic atoms at arbitrary fixed radius circles). Bond distances and angles (deg) relevant to this discussion: $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)=2.184(2), \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(2)=2.226(2), \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(1)=2.347$ (2), $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{Fe}(1)=2.362(2) . \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)=55.3(1) . \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)=62.7$ (1), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{P}(1)=62.0$ (1).
steric requirements of the mesityl group compared to the large aryloxide substituents. ${ }^{28}$

The structure of 8 (Figure 5) remains unique and to our knowledge has not been described in any other system. The AB patterns observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR originally led us to assign its structure as a diphosphene coordinated to one $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ fragment similar to the chromium species $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right.$-trans $-\left\{\mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2} \ell_{2}\right]$. However, the X-ray structure showed it to have the diphosphene $\mathrm{ROP}=\mathrm{POR}$ coordinated sideways to one $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and end-on to another $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ fragment with concomitant lengthening of the PP bond from ca. 2.05 to 2.184 (2) $\AA$. The odd structure may be due in part to enhancement of the $\pi$-orbital electron density by back-donation from OR. This results in side-on bonding, the earliest type of coordination known for diphosphenes. ${ }^{29}$ The coordination of one (but not two as seen in other systems) ${ }^{8}$ further

[^5]metal carbonyl moiety in the end on fashion is probably a result of steric factors.
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#### Abstract

The reaction of $\mathrm{N}-n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{MnO}_{4}$ with appropriate reagents in nonaqueous solvents leads to the high-yield formation of trinuclear oxo-centered Mn complexes of general formulation $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{6} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right]^{2+}(1, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{L}=$ pyr, $z=1 ; 2, \mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{pyr}, z=0$, monopyridine solvate; $\mathbf{3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{L}=$ pyr, $z=0$, unsolvated; $\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{L}_{3}=(\text { pyr })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), z=0 ; \mathbf{5}$, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{HIm}, z=1 ; \mathrm{pyr}=$ pyridine, HIm = imidazole). The crystal structures of complexes $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ have been determined. Complex 2 crystallizes in rhombohedral space group R 32 with (at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $a=b=17.552$ (6) $\AA, c=10.918$ (3) $\AA, \gamma=$ $120.00(1)^{\circ}$, and $Z=3$. A total of 1546 unique data with $F>3 \sigma(F)$ were refined to conventional values of $R$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}$ of 5.77 and $5.86 \%$, respectively. Complex 4 crystallizes in monoclinic space group $P 2_{1}$ with (at $-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $a=15.058(10) \AA$, $b=23.600(17) \AA, c=14.959(10) \AA, \beta=91.01(3)^{\circ}$, and $Z=2$. A total of 7174 unique data with $F>3 \sigma(F)$ were refined to values of $R$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}$ of 8.64 and $8.43 \%$, respectively. Both 2 and 4 possess an oxo-centered $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ unit characteristic of "basic carboxylates" with peripheral ligation provided by bridging carboxylate and terminal pyr (or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) groups. Each Mn is distorted octahedral, and consideration of overall charge of the trinuclear units necessitates a mixed-valence $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Mn}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{II} 1}$ description. In 2, the presence of a $C_{3}$ axis through the central O and perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ unit necessitates the Mn centers to be crystallographically equivalent, suggesting rapid intramolecular electron transfer or electronic delocalization. In contrast, $\mathbf{4}$ possesses no imposed symmetry elements and is in a trapped-valence situation in accord with its mixed-ligand nature, since two Mn centers have a terminal pyr group while the third Mn has a terminal $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule. The latter metal center is assigned as the $\mathrm{Mn}^{1 \mathrm{II}}$ ion based on its longer metal-ligand distances. Variable temperature solid state magnetic susceptibility studies have been performed on 1-4 in the temperature range of $\sim 6$ to $\sim 340 \mathrm{~K}$. Satisfactory fits to the observed susceptibility data have been obtained by assuming isotropic magnetic exchange interactions and employing the appropriate spin Hamiltonians and derived susceptibility equations. All Mn centers are shown to be in high-spin electronic configurations and to be antiferromagnetically coupled. The derived exchange parameters are all relatively small in magnitude, $\mid J<11 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In addition, it is found that the antiferromagnetic exchange interactions are smaller for the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ units than those for isostructural $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ systems, and a rationalization is proposed. Since phase transitions had previously been characterized by heat capacity measurements on $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{pyr})_{3}\right](\mathrm{pyr})$, isostructural complex 2 was investigated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) in the temperature range $153-303 \mathrm{~K}$. An exothermic thermal effect is clearly evident with a peak at 184.7 K in the cooling curve (the other mixed-valence $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ systems showed no thermal effects in their DSC thermograms). The origin of this thermal effect and comparisons with the corresponding behavior of the Fe complex are described.


Interest in trinuclear $\mu_{3}$-oxo-bridged manganese complexes of general composition [ $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CR}\right)_{6} \mathrm{~L}_{3}$ ] draws from three quarters. First, there is a need to characterize polynuclear manganese complexes as models for the water oxidation center of photosynthetic electron transport chains. A cluster of two to four manganese ions is known to catalyze the oxidation of two $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules to give one $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule. ${ }^{1}$ Second, a comparison of the intramolecular electron transfer characteristics of mixed-valence $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ complexes with those of the reasonably well-characterized $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ analogues would be instructional. The electronic

[^6]coupling between Mn ions in the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ complexes should be weaker than that found for the mixed-valence $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ complexes. Third, the magnetic exchange interaction characteristics of the mixed-valence $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ complexes need to be compared to those of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ analogues.
Trinuclear $\mu_{3}$-oxo-bridged iron acetate complexes have been studied in great detail, ${ }^{2-7}$ whereas analogous mixed-valence
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